

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,674	12/28/2001	Guy L. Steele JR.	06502.0377	4634	
22852 7	590 05/05/2005		EXAM	INER	
	HENDERSON, FARA	ABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	DO, CI	IAT C	
LLP 901 NEW YOF	RK AVENUE, NW	DE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20001-4413	OLD E WON'S	2193		
·.		0 8 2005 E	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003		
		PENTA TRACEMENT			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAY 0 9 2005

FIRESCHAL SEMBERSOM, FARABOW, GARREIT & DUNNER, LLP

The second secon

Docketed <u>as as a</u>	Attorney JAB SRD NAS
Case 06502 · O	377
Due Date 08.05	05
Action F. Rospin	V. Appeal clup
Bv	ms_

	OIPE			
	JUN 0 8 2005 H	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
\	3011	10/035,674	STEELE, GUY L.	
Office Action Sumn	PRADEMART	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MADE	Chat C. Do	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	
Period for Reply	DIOD FOR DERIV			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. nan thirly (30) days, a reply naximum statutory period w od for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6.133)	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u>	bruary 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
1			atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with th	e practice under Ex	c parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending	in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	ed to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
	o'by the Evenines			
9) The specification is objected t 10) The drawing(s) filed on		nted or h) objected to	hy the Evaminer	•
Applicant may not request that a		·		
			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			ē
•			2.424.5.45	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non	• .	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the		have been received		
2. Certified copies of the p	-		Application No.	
<u></u>			n received in this National Stage	
application from the Inte	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of	the certified copies no	t received.	
		:.	•	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Res Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/4;11/23;12/23/4. 			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050418	 8

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 02/02/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, and 16 are independent claims. This Office action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-8, 13-16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deerfield (U.S. 3,725,649).

Re claim 1, Deerfield discloses in Figure 2 a method for providing a floating point product (e.g. title and abstract) comprising: multiplying a sub-precise operand (e.g. output of 28 and col. 4 lines 50-58) and a non-sub-precise operand (e.g. output of 22) using a plurality of intermediate stages (e.g. 30, 34, 24 wherein each of these considers to be a stage); and correcting an error introduced (e.g. right shift 34, 28 and increment in exponent in 24; col. 4 lines 20-33) by the sub-precise operand by performing an operation in conjunction (e.g. in substantially parallel with producing product) with a one of the plurality of intermediate stages utilizing a compensating summand (e.g. output of adder 32).

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 5, Deerfield further discloses in Figure 2 the sub-precise operand is represented using a delimited normalized format with an implicit leading l-bit (e.g. conventional normalized format as col. 1 lines 28-37).

Re claim 6, Deerfield further discloses in Figure 2 the one of the plurality of intermediate stages is selected wherein a substantial time delay to correct the error is avoided (e.g. col. 2 lines 20-32 as motivation).

Re claim 7, Deerfield further discloses in Figure 2 time consumed by multiplying the sub-precise operand and the non-sub-precise operand overlaps time consumed in correcting the error (e.g. accumulating partial products in 30, 32, and 34 is done substantially parallel with right shift control as output of 36 and exponent correction in 24).

Re claim 8, it is a system claim of claim 1. Thus, claim 8 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 13, it is a system claim of claim 5. Thus, claim 13 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Re claim 14, it is a system claim of claim 6. Thus, claim 14 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 15, it is a system claim 7. Thus, claim 15 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 7.

Re claim 16, it is a computer-readable medium claim of claim 1. Thus, claim 16 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 19, it is a computer-readable medium claim of claim 5. Thus, claim 19 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Re claim 20, it is a computer-readable medium claim of claim 6. Thus, claim 20 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 21, it is a computer-readable medium claim of claim 7. Thus, claim 21 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Deerfield (U.S. 3,725,649) in view of Yeh et al. (U.S. 4,991,131).

Re claims 2 and 10, Deerfield do not disclose in Figure 2 multiplying the subprecise operand and the non-sub-precise operand using a multiplier array comprising 3to-2 adders. However, Yeh et al. disclose in Figures 3 and 16A a multiplier (46) for
multiplying operands (M(X) and M(Y)) using a 3-2 adders array (full adder in Figure
16A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art
at the time the invention is made to add an array multiplier comprising 3-2 adders as seen
in Yeh et al.'s invention into Deerfield's invention because it would enable to reduce the
circuitry and efficiently carry the multiplication.

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 9, it is a system claim of claim 2. Thus, claim 9 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-4, 11-12, and 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 02/02/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. The applicant argues in page 5 for claims 1, 8, and 16 that the cited reference by Deerfield does not disclose a multiplying a subprecise operand and a non-subprecise operand instead the cited reference discloses a multiplication of two un-normalized digital numbers.

Based on the defined terms "subprecise" and "non-subprecise" operand as "non-full significant bits operand" and "full significant bits operand" respectively by the applicant in page 4 of the response argument, he examiner respectfully submits that cited reference clearly disclose the features or limitations in the claimed invention. First, the examiner interprets the normalized and unnormalized operands as equivalent (and precise) as the subprecise and non-subprecise operands because the significant bits in un-normalized are less than the

Art Unit: 2193

normalized operands and wherein the normalized operand has a full width significant bits in mantissa. Second, the cited reference clearly discloses a multiplication of a normalized and un-normalized operands wherein the normalized operand is the output of 28 (e.g. see col. 4 lines 50-58) and the unnormalized operand is the output of 22. The input multiplicand 28 originally is un-normalized operand, but it is shifted left by a control signal (e.g. Figure left shift control) prior entering the digital multiplier (e.g. 30). Therefore, Deerfield clearly discloses a multiplication in Figure 2 of a subprecise or normalized operand and a non-subprecise or un-normalized operand as cited in the claimed invention.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:00PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C Do Examiner Art Unit 2193

April 19, 2005

TODD INGERERG

	IDS Form PTO/SB/08: Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				Complete if Known				
16	Eva				Application Number	10/035,674			
A_{ℓ}	P CONFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	December 28, 2001			
2	STATEMENT RY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	Guy L. STEELE, JR.			
VOV	23 2004	se as many she	ets as necessary)		Art Unit	2124 2193			
101					Examiner Name	Jany-Mar Char Do			
ιГ	Sheet 3	1	of	1	Attomey Docket Number	06502.0377			

Examiner	Cite	Document Number	Issue or	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where
Initials No	No.1	Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
CD		6,732,134	05/04/2004	Rosenberg et al.	
CD		6,594,681	07/15/2003	Prabhu	
(D)	-	6,138,135	10/24/2000	Karp	
CD .		5,963,461	10/05/1999	Gorshtein et al.	
сР		5,953,241	09/14/1999	Hansen et al.	
CP		5,892,697	04/06/1999	Brakefield	
a)		5,812,439	09/22/1998	Hansen	
CP		5,481,489	01/02/1996	Yanagida et al.	
			·		

Note: Copies of the U.S. Patent Documents are not Required in IDS filed after October 21, 2004

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation ⁶		

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	the second secon				

Examiner	11/1	Date	21/1	/	10-
Signature		Considered	041	18	105
					

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

IDS Form PTO/SB/08: Substitute for form 1449A/PTO P TRADEM Complete if Known Application Number 10/035,674 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filing Date December 28, 2001 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Guy L. STEELE, JR. Art Unit 2424 (Use as many sheets as necessary) Examiner Name -Ten V. Mai Sheet of Attorney Docket Number 06502.0377

Examiner	Cite	Document Number	Issue or	ED U.S. PATENT APPLICAT Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where
Initials	No. Number-Kind Code ² (il known) Number-Kind Code ² (il known) Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY		Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
CD.		4,777,613 B1	10/11/1988	Shahan et al.	
CD)		4,788,655 B1	11/29/1988	Nakayama et al.	
CP		5,065,352 B1	11/12/1991	Nakano	
CD		5,161,117 B1	11/03/1992	Waggener, Jr.	
CD		5,249,149 B1	09/28/1993	Cocanougher et al.	
CD		5,307,303 B1	04/26/1994	Briggs et al.	
QD		5,363,321 B1	11/08/1994	Dao Trong et al.	
CD.		5,365,465 B1	11/15/1994	Larson	
CD		5,570,310 B1	10/29/1996	Smith	
CD		5,862,066 B1	01/19/1999	Rossin et al.	
CD		5,931,943 B1	08/03/1999	Orup	
CD		5,978,901 B1	11/02/1999	Luedtke et al.	
CD		6,105,047 B1	08/15/2000	Sharangpani et al.	
CD		6,108,772 B1	08/22/2000	Sharangpani	
cD		6,151,669 B1	11/21/2000	Huck et al.	
CD		6,393,555 B1	05/21/2002	Meier et al.	
9		6,490,607 B1	12/03/2002	Oberman	
CD		6,789,098 B1	09/07/2004	Dijkstra	

Note: Copies of the U.S. Patent Documents are not Required in IDS filed after October 21, 2004

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁶ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation ⁶	

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶		
- ".					

Examiner Signature	1111	Date Considered	04/18/	105

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

•			OFE	ACT AS			<i>,</i>	OIP	E
IDS Form PTO/S	B/08: Substitute for form	n 1449A/PTO	ME 23	g	С	omplete if I	(nown	3011	
			- \g.	Applicat	ion Number	10/035,674		EN	
	FORMATION DI			Joseph Da	ate	December 2	28, 2001	* TR	ADA
S	TATEMENT BY	APPLICAN	L Con	First Na	med Inventor	Guy L. STE	ELE, JR.		
	(Use as many sheets a	as necessary)		Art Unit		2124	9193		\neg
				Examine	r Name	Tan V. Mat	Chas	Do	\neg
Sheet	1	of	1	Attomey	Docket Number	06502.0377	<u> </u>		7

			AND PUBLISH	ED U.S. PATENT APPLICAT	IUNS
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Issue or Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
CD		6,658,444	12/02/2003	Walster et al.	
co		6,658,443	12/02/2003	Walster	
00		6,629,120	09/30/2003	Walster et al.	
CO		2003/0033335	02/13/2003	Walster	
00		2002/0194232	12/19/2002	Walster	
a)		6,189,094	02/13/2001	Hinds et al.	
		·			
			·		

Note: Copies of the U.S. Patent Documents are not Required in IDS filed after October 21, 2004

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁵ (<i>il known</i>)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Translation ⁶	
					·		

	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Cite Initials No.1		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.					

Examiner Signature	Date Considered	04/	17/	05

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Notice of References Cited: THADE NAME OF THE Chat C. Do Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination STEELE, GUY L. Examiner Chat C. Do Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination STEELE, GUY L. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,697,832	02-2004	Kelley et al.	708/501
	В	US-6,256,655	07-2001	Ezer et al.	708/501 -
	С	US-6,049,865	04-2000	Smith, Roger Alan	708/496
	D.	US-5,357,237	10-1994	Bearden et al.	708/505
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	I,	US-			
•	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			,

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					·
	Т			•		

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

		NOW ALEN BOOMENS
*	<u>L</u>	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
•	w	
	х	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.